IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

1019 AUG -8 PH 1: 40

WITHERN DIST. OHIO

Kevin A. Tolliver,

Plaintiff.

AST. DIV. COLUMBUS Case No. 2:16-cv-1020

Judges: G. Smith/Jolson

VS-

Warden Nobel, et al.,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff Kevin A. Tolliver hereby appeals from the United States district Court opinion denying preliminary injunction request and temporary restraining order (Doc. 67 and Doc. 61). Said entry was made on July 17, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

July 31, 2019

evin Tolliver (428576)

P.O. Box 209 - B2 Orient, OH 43146 Plaintiff, Pro se.

CERTIFICATE AND PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 31, 2019, I mailed my Notice of Appeal to the Clerk of the U.S. District Courts for filing and served a true copy to Counsel for defendants Dave Yost at 150 E. Gay Street, Columbus, OH 43215 by placement in the legal mail system at Pickaway Correctional Institute with first class postage paid, for that is the only method available to me.

Personally,

Wevin A. Tolliver (428576)

P.O. Box 209 - B2 Orient, OH 43146

Plaintiff, Pro se

Case: 2:16-cv-01020-GCS-KAJ Doc #: 70 Filed: 08/08/19 Page: 3 of 5 PAGEID #: 497

Case: 2:16-cv-01020-GCS-KAJ Doc #: 67 Filed: 07/17/19 Page: 1 of 2 PAGEID #: 490

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

KEVIN A. TOLLIVER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-1020
JUDGE GEORGE C. SMITH
Magistrate Judge Jolson

WARDEN NOBEL, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

On June 21, 2019, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order be denied. (See Report and Recommendation, Doc. 61). The Magistrate Judge also directed Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's Offer of Settlement and Defendants have so complied and filed a Notice of Compliance on the Court's docket. (See Doc. 66). The parties were advised of their right to object to the Report and Recommendation. This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 65). The Court will consider the matter de novo. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

In his objections, Plaintiff continues to make the same arguments he raised in his Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order, that were carefully considered by the Magistrate Judge in the *Report and Recommendation*. Plaintiff has failed to establish any basis for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's conclusions, but merely disagrees with her conclusions. Plaintiff suggests that the Magistrate Judge may have misunderstood his arguments

Case: 2:16-cv-01020-GCS-KAJ Doc #: 70 Filed: 08/08/19 Page: 4 of 5 PAGEID #: 498

Case: 2:16-cv-01020-GCS-KAJ Doc #: 67 Filed: 07/17/19 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 490

with respect to the failure of the prison to transfer both of his legal boxes, however, the Magistrate Judge did address this and at this time, Plaintiff has failed to show intentional conduct by Defendants to justify injunctive relief. Therefore, for the reasons stated in the *Report and Recommendation*, this Court finds that Plaintiff's objections are without merit and are hereby **OVERRULED**.

The Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 61, is ADOPTED and AFFIRMED.

Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order is DENIED.

The Clerk shall remove Documents 34, 61 and 65 from the Court's pending motions list.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ George C. Smith
GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

P.O. Box BZ Orient, OH 43146

666282-91264

Clerk of Court
81vá. -slumby, OH 43215



